IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSHUA SHUMAN, a minor by and through his mother and natural guardian :

TERESA SHERTZER, and TERESA

SHERTZER, individually CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-3594

Plaintiffs,

(GARDNER) :

PENN MANOR SCHOOL DISTRICT, PENN MANOR SCHOOL BOARD,

GARY B. CAMPBELL, individually and as Superintendent of the Penn Manor

School District,

v.

AND

DONALD STEWART, individually and as Acting Superintendent of the Penn Manor

School District

AND

JANICE M. MINDISH, individually and as

Principal of Penn Manor High School,

BRIAN D. BADDICK, individually and as Assistant Principal of Penn Manor High

School,

AND

PHILIP B. GALE, individually and as Dean of Students of Penn Manor High School,

CAROLE FAY, individually and as a teacher and Agriculture Coordinator at Penn Manor

High School

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS, PENN MANOR SCHOOL DISTRICT, PENN MANOR SCHOOL BOARD, DONALD STEWART, JANICE M. MINDISH, BRIAN D. BADDICK, PHILIP GALE, AND CAROLE FAY'S PROPOSED VERDICT SHEET

The Defendants, Penn Manor School District, Penn Manor School Board, Donald Stewart, Superintendent of the Penn Manor School District, Janice M. Mindish, Principal of Penn Manor High School, Brian D. Baddick, Assistant Principal of Penn Manor High School, Philip Gale, Assistant Principal of Penn Manor High School, and Carole Fay,

teacher and Agriculture Coordinator at Penn Manor High School (hereinafter sometimes collectively referred to as "Defendants"), present the following Proposed Verdict Sheet:1

1.	Do you find that Plaintiffs have established by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants violated his First Amendment Right to receive information and ideas?			
	Yes	No)	
	(If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, continue with Question 1(a). If you answered "No" to Question No. 1, proceed to Question 2.)			
	(a) Do you find that Defendant, Donald Steward, violated clearly established First Amendment Constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known?			
	Yes	No		
	(Proceed to Question No. 1(b)).			
	(b) Do you find that Defendant, Janice Mindish, violated clearly established First Amendment Constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known?			
	Yes	No		
	(Proceed to Question No. 1(c)).			
	(c) Do you find that Defendant, Philip Gale, violated clearly established First Amendment Constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known?			
	Yes	No		
	(Proceed to Question No. 1(d)).			
	(d) Do you find that Defendant, Brian Baddick, violated clearly established First Amendment Constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known?			
	Yes	No		

Defendants reserve the right to amend this Proposed Verdict Sheet based upon the Court's ruling on the pending Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendants have also intentionally left out a question relating to negligence because Plaintiffs, in their pretrial submissions, have described this claim as intentional in nature and Defendants are unable to determine the nature of the claim.

	(e) Do you find that Defendant, Carole Fay, violated clearly established First Amendment Constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
	(Proceed to Question No. 1(f)).	
	(f) Do you find that the Plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of a Penn Manor School District policy, practice or custom which is the moving force behind the First Amendment Violation?		
	Yes	No	
	(Proceed to Question No. 2).		
2.	•	Do you find that Plaintiffs have established by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants violated Joshua Shuman's Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure?	
	Yes	No	
		Question No. 2, continue with Question 2(a). If you No. 2, proceed to Question 3.)	
	(a) Do you find that Defendant, Donald Steward, violated a clearly established Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
	(Proceed to Question No. 2(l	b)).	
	(b) Do you find that Defendant, Janice Mindish, violated a clearly established Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	

3.

(Proceed to Question No. 2(c)).		
(c) Do you find that Defendant, Philip Gale, violated a clearly established Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure of which a reasonable person would have known?		
Yes No		
(Proceed to Question No. 2(d)).		
(d) Do you find that Defendant, Brian Baddick, violated a clearly established Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure of which a reasonable person would have known?		
Yes No		
(Proceed to Question No. 2(e)).		
(e) Do you find that Defendant, Carole Fay, violated a clearly established Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure of which a reasonable person would have known?		
Yes No		
(Proceed to Question No. 2(f).		
(f) Do you find that the Plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of a Penn Manor School District policy, practice or custom which is the moving force behind the Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure?		
Yes No		
(Proceed to Question No. 3).		
Do you find that Plaintiffs have established by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants violated Joshua Shuman's Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection rights by discriminating against him on the basis of his gender?		
YesNo		
(If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, continue with Question 3(a). If you answered "No" to Question No. 3, proceed to Question 4.)		

(a)	Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection right to be free from discrimination on the basis of gender of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
(Pr	oceed to Question No. 3(b)).		
(b)	b) Do you find that Defendant, Janice Mindish, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection right to be free from discrimination on the basis of gender of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
(Pr	oceed to Question No. 3(c)).		
(c)	c) Do you find that Defendant, Philip Gale, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection right to be free from discrimination on the basis of gender of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
(Pr	oceed to Question No. 3(d)).		
(d)	d) Do you find that Defendant, Brian Baddick, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection right to be free from discrimination on the basis of gender of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
(Pr	oceed to Question No. 3(e)).		
(e)	e) Do you find that Defendant, Carole Fay, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection right to be free from discrimination on the basis of gender of which a reasonable person would have known?		
	Yes	No	
(Pr	oceed to Question No. 3(f)).		
(f)	evidence the existence of a Penr	ave proven by a preponderance of the Manor School District policy, practice or ce behind the Fourteenth Amendment Equal	

	Yes		No	_
	(Proceed to Ques	stion No. 4).		
4.	that the Defenda	nts violated their Fou	ırteenth Amen	eponderance of the evidence dment Procedural Due Process y to respond to the charges
	Y	es	No	
		d "Yes" to Question to Question No. 4, pr		ue with Question 4(a). If you tion 5).
	• •	amendment Due Proc		iolated a clearly established hich a reasonable person
	Yes		No	_
	(Proceed to Ques	(Proceed to Question No. 4(b)).		
(b) Do you find that Defendant, Janice Mindish, vie Fourteenth Amendment Due Process right of w would have known?		<u> </u>		
	Yes		No	_
	(Proceed to Ques	stion No. 4(c)).		
	(c) Do you find that Defendant, Philip Gale, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Due Process right of which a reasonable person would have known?			
	Yes		No	_
	(Proceed to Question No. 4(d)).			
	(d) Do you find that Defendant, Brian Baddick, violated a clearly establish Fourteenth Amendment Due Process right of which a reasonable perso would have known?			
	Yes		No	_

	(Proceed to Question No. 4(e)).
	(e) Do you find that Defendant, Carole Fay, violated a clearly established Fourteenth Amendment Due Process right of which a reasonable person would have known?
	Yes No
	(Proceed to Question No. 4(f)).
	(f) Do you find that the Plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of a Penn Manor School District policy, practice or custom which is the moving force behind the Fourteenth Amendment Due process violation?
	Yes No
	(Proceed to Question No. 5).
5.	Do you find that Plaintiffs have established by a preponderance of the evidence (a) that the Defendants engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct; (b) which conduct was intentional or reckless; (c) which conduct caused emotional distress and (d) which emotional distress was severe as established through expert testimony?
	Yes No
	(Proceed to Question No. 6).
5.	Do you find that Plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that they have sustained actual injury(s) caused by the Defendants?
	Yes No
	(If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 6, proceed to Question No. 7. If you answered "No" to Question No. 6, your deliberations have been completed. Please inform the law clerk).
7.	How much money are Plaintiffs entitled to receive to fairly compensate them fo actual injury(s) sustained?
	\$

Date: _____

(Proceed to Question No. 8).

8.	Plaintiffs have requested punitive damages. (a) Do you find that the Defenda should be punished for outrageous conduct to deter them and others like th from similar conduct in the future and (b) Defendants engaged in conduct that outrageous, because of the Defendants' evil motive or reckless indifference to rights of Plaintiffs?		
	YesNo		
	(If you answered "Yes" to Question No. answered "No" to Question No. 8, your of Please inform the law clerk).		
9.	How much money are Plaintiffs entitled to receive as punitive damages?		
	\$	-	
You h	u have completed your deliberations; please i	nform the law clerk.	

Foreperson